## **Geometric Neural Diffusion Processes**

Vincent Dutordoir 15th September 2023

Alan Turing Institute Uncertainty Quantification for Generative Modelling



## Papers of Reference and Collaborators

Neural Diffusion Processes, ICML 2023.



Vincent Dutordoir

Alan Saul

Zoubin Ghahramani



Fergus Simpson

Geometric Neural Diffusion Processes. Under submission.



Émile Mathieu\*



Vincent Dutordoir\*



Michael Hutchinson<sup>\*</sup>



Valentin De Bortoli



Yee Whye Teh



Richard E. Turner

#### **Rise of Diffusion Models**



Figure 1: Samples from stable diffusion

Goal



Goal



## Why

- Many physical and natural phenomena are better characterised as functions.
- Meta-learn and treat limited data as originating from a function.

## Feature Fields: $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}^d$

- Mathematical framework for modelling natural phenomena.
- Examples: Temperature  $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ , and wind direction on globe  $f : \mathcal{S}^2 \to T\mathcal{S}^2$ .



(a) Temperature map and wind vector fields.



(b) 3D stress tensor (type-2) diagram.

#### **Prior invariances**

Encode invariances w.r.t. group transformations. For a group G, we want  $\forall g \in G$ 

$$p(f) = p(g \cdot f) \quad \text{with} \quad g \cdot f = \rho(g) f(g^{-1}x).$$

#### **Prior invariances**

Encode invariances w.r.t. group transformations. For a group G, we want  $\forall g \in G$ 

$$p(f) = p(g \cdot f)$$
 with  $g \cdot f = \rho(g)f(g^{-1}x).$ 

Examples translation invariance (stationarity) and rotational invariance.



### **Conditional process**

- Interested in the conditional process given a set of observations  $C = \{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=1}$ .
- If the prior is *G*-invariant, then the conditional is *G*-equivariant:

 $p(f \mid \mathcal{C}) = p(g \cdot f \mid g \cdot \mathcal{C}) \text{ where } g \cdot \mathcal{C} = \{(g \cdot x_n, \rho(g)y_n)\}.$ 

#### **Conditional process**

- Interested in the conditional process given a set of observations  $C = \{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=1}$ .
- If the prior is G-invariant, then the conditional is G-equivariant:

 $p(f \mid \mathcal{C}) = p(g \cdot f \mid g \cdot \mathcal{C}) \text{ where } g \cdot \mathcal{C} = \{(g \cdot x_n, \rho(g)y_n)\}.$ 



## **Continuous diffusion models**

## Principles of continuous diffusion models



Figure 5: (Song et al., 2021)

- ▶ Idea: Destruct data with *continuous* series of noise.
- ▶ Do this by constructing an **SDE** forward noising process  $(\mathbf{Y}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ .
- ► Have this noising converge to a **known distribution**.
- ► Invert this SDE noising process to get **denoising** process.

The Forward process progressively perturbs the data following a SDE

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y}_t = -\mathbf{Y}_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_t,\tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_t$  is Brownian motion (think of it conceptually as  $d\mathbf{B}_t/dt \sim \mathcal{N}(0, dt)$ ).

The Forward process progressively perturbs the data following a SDE

$$\mathrm{d}\mathbf{Y}_t = -\mathbf{Y}_t \,\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_t,\tag{1}$$

where  $\mathbf{B}_t$  is Brownian motion (think of it conceptually as  $d\mathbf{B}_t/dt \sim \mathcal{N}(0, dt)$ ).

#### Example: 2D Gaussian data



Figure 6: Forward process

Theorem 1: (Cattiaux et al., 2021; Haussmann and Pardoux, 1986)

The time-reversed process  $(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t)_{t\geq 0} = (\mathbf{Y}_{T-t})_{t\in[0,T]}$ , with forward process  $d\mathbf{Y}_t = -\mathbf{Y}_t dt + \sqrt{2} d\mathbf{B}_t$ , also satisfies an SDE given by

$$d\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t = \left[-\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t + 2\nabla\log p_t(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t)\right]dt + \sqrt{2}d\mathbf{B}_t,$$

assuming  $\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_0$  is distributed the same as  $\mathbf{Y}_T$ .

Theorem 2: (Cattiaux et al., 2021; Haussmann and Pardoux, 1986)

The time-reversed process  $(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t)_{t\geq 0} = (\mathbf{Y}_{T-t})_{t\in[0,T]}$ , with forward process  $d\mathbf{Y}_t = -\mathbf{Y}_t dt + \sqrt{2} d\mathbf{B}_t$ , also satisfies an SDE given by

$$d\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t = \left[-\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t + 2\nabla\log p_t(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t)\right]dt + \sqrt{2}d\mathbf{B}_t,$$

assuming  $\mathbf{Y}_0$  is distributed the same as  $\mathbf{Y}_T$ .

**Problem** The Stein score  $\nabla \log p_t = \nabla \log \int p_{data}(\mathbf{Y}_0) p_{t|0}(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}_0) d\mathbf{Y}_0$  is intractable.

## **Denoising Score Matching**

Parameterise score using neural network  $\mathbf{s}_{\theta} : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  and learn score using the Denoising Score Matching objective

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(t, \mathbf{Y}_{t}) - \nabla \log p_{t}(\mathbf{Y}_{t} | \mathbf{Y}_{0}) \|^{2}].$$
(2)

#### **Denoising Score Matching**

Parameterise score using neural network  $\mathbf{s}_{\theta} : [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  and learn score using the Denoising Score Matching objective

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(t, \mathbf{Y}_{t}) - \nabla \log p_{t}(\mathbf{Y}_{t} | \mathbf{Y}_{0}) \|^{2}].$$
(2)

Example

$$\mathrm{d}\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t = \left[-\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t + 2 \frac{s_\theta(t, \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t)}{s_\theta(t, \bar{\mathbf{Y}}_t)}\right] \mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{B}_t,$$



Figure 7: Reverse process

## **Diffusion on Function Spaces**



We construct the forward **noising process**  $(\mathbf{Y}_t(x))_{t\geq 0} \triangleq (\mathbf{Y}_t(x^1), \dots, \mathbf{Y}_t(x^n))_{t\geq 0}$ defined by the multivariate SDE (multivariate Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process)

$$d\mathbf{Y}_t(x) = \frac{1}{2} \{m(x) - \mathbf{Y}_t(x)\} \beta_t dt + \frac{\beta_t^{1/2} \mathbf{K}(x, x)^{1/2}}{d\mathbf{B}_t} d\mathbf{B}_t,$$
(3)

where  $K(x, x)_{i,j} = k(x^i, x^j)$  with  $k : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  a kernel and  $m : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ .



We construct the forward **noising process**  $(\mathbf{Y}_t(x))_{t\geq 0} \triangleq (\mathbf{Y}_t(x^1), \dots, \mathbf{Y}_t(x^n))_{t\geq 0}$ defined by the multivariate SDE (multivariate Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process)

$$d\mathbf{Y}_t(x) = \frac{1}{2} \{ m(x) - \mathbf{Y}_t(x) \} \beta_t dt + \frac{\beta_t^{1/2} \mathbf{K}(x, x)^{1/2}}{d\mathbf{B}_t} d\mathbf{B}_t,$$
(3)

where  $\mathrm{K}(x,x)_{i,j} = k(x^i,x^j)$  with  $k: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  a kernel and  $m: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ .

- $\mathbf{Y}_t(x) \to \mathcal{N}(m(x), \mathcal{K}(x, x))$  with geometric rate, for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}^n$ .
- $\mathbf{Y}_t \to \operatorname{GP}(m,k) \triangleq \mathbf{Y}_{\infty}$  (Phillips et al., 2022).



We construct the forward **noising process**  $(\mathbf{Y}_t(x))_{t\geq 0} \triangleq (\mathbf{Y}_t(x^1), \dots, \mathbf{Y}_t(x^n))_{t\geq 0}$ defined by the multivariate SDE (multivariate Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process)

$$d\mathbf{Y}_t(x) = \frac{1}{2} \{ m(x) - \mathbf{Y}_t(x) \} \beta_t dt + \frac{\beta_t^{1/2} \mathbf{K}(x, x)^{1/2}}{d\mathbf{B}_t} d\mathbf{B}_t,$$
(3)

where  $\mathrm{K}(x,x)_{i,j} = k(x^i,x^j)$  with  $k: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$  a kernel and  $m: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ .

- $\mathbf{Y}_t(x) \to \mathcal{N}(m(x), \mathcal{K}(x, x))$  with geometric rate, for any  $x \in \mathcal{X}^n$ .
- $\mathbf{Y}_t \to \operatorname{GP}(m,k) \triangleq \mathbf{Y}_{\infty}$  (Phillips et al., 2022).
- $\mathbf{Y}_t$  interpolates between  $\mathbf{Y}_0$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_{\infty}$ .

$$k(x, x') = k_{\rm rbf}(x, x') = \sigma^2 \exp\left(\frac{\|x - x'\|^2}{2l^2}\right), \text{ with } l = 1.$$

$$k(x, x') = k_{\rm rbf}(x, x') = \sigma^2 \exp\left(\frac{||x - x'||^2}{2l^2}\right), \text{ with } l = 1.$$

 $k(x, x') = k_{\rm rbf}(x, x')$ , with l = 0.2.



$$k(x, x') = k_{\rm rbf}(x, x') = \sigma^2 \exp\left(\frac{||x - x'||^2}{2l^2}\right), \text{ with } l = 1.$$

 $k(x, x') = k_{\rm rbf}(x, x')$ , with l = 0.2.



 $k(x,x')=\delta_x(x')$  (The tranditional DDPM settings).



# **Encoding Invariances**

#### **Prior and Conditional Symmetries**



14

Proposition 1: Invariant Neural Diffusion Processes

The denoising process on functions as defined above and with initial sample given by  $p(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_0)=\mathrm{GP}(m,k)$  is G-invariant if

Proposition 2: Invariant Neural Diffusion Processes

The denoising process on functions as defined above and with initial sample given by  $p(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_0)=\mathrm{GP}(m,k)$  is G-invariant if

1. m and k are both G-equivariant (i.e. G-invariant Gaussian process), i.e.

$$m(g \cdot x) = \rho(g)m(x)$$
 and  $k(g \cdot x, g \cdot x') = \rho(g)k(x, x')\rho(g)^{\top}$ ,

Proposition 3: Invariant Neural Diffusion Processes

The denoising process on functions as defined above and with initial sample given by  $p(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_0)=\mathrm{GP}(m,k)$  is G-invariant if

1. m and k are both G-equivariant (i.e. G-invariant Gaussian process), i.e.

$$m(g \cdot x) = \rho(g)m(x) \quad \text{and} \quad k(g \cdot x, g \cdot x') = \rho(g)k(x, x')\rho(g)^{\top},$$

2. the score network is G-equivariant vector field, i.e.

$$\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(t, g \cdot x, \rho(g)y) = \rho(g)\mathbf{s}_{\theta}(t, x, y),$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}, g \in G$ .

## **Conditional Process**

## Conditional sampling in diffusion models

**Goal:** Sample from  $y \sim p(\cdot | C)$  given a condition C.

## Conditional sampling in diffusion models

**Goal:** Sample from  $y \sim p(\cdot | C)$  given a condition C.



a hedgehog using a calculator"

"a corgi wearing a red bowtie and a purple party hat" "robots meditating in a vipassana retreat" "a fall landscape with a small cottage next to a lake"

Figure 10:  $p(image \mid text)$ 

Often the condition is a property (e.g., caption).

Condition is a subspace of the state space:  $\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}} = (y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(m)}).$ 



**Figure 11:** Conditional samples  $p(\cdot | \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$ .

• We need the conditional score  $\nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t) \rightarrow \nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$ 

- We need the conditional score  $\nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t) \rightarrow \nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$
- Applying Bayes rule to the conditional score

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$$

- We need the conditional score  $\nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t) \rightarrow \nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$
- Applying Bayes rule to the conditional score

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$$

• Use standard reverse process with score  $s_{\theta}(t, [\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}])$ .

- We need the conditional score  $\nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t) \rightarrow \nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$
- Applying Bayes rule to the conditional score

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$$

• Use standard reverse process with score  $s_{\theta}(t, [\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}])$ .

- We need the conditional score  $\nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t) \rightarrow \nabla \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$
- Applying Bayes rule to the conditional score

 $\nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t \mid \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}) = \nabla_{\mathbf{Y}_t} \log p_t(\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}})$ 

• Use standard reverse process with score  $s_{\theta}(t, [\mathbf{Y}_t, \mathbf{Y}^{\mathcal{C}}])$ .



**Figure 12:** Conditional reverse process  $p(\mathbf{Y}_0 \mid y^{(2)} = -1)$ 

# **Experimental results**

## 1D regression: Datasets



19

## 1D regression: Predictive log-likelihood (Cont'd)

 Table 1: Mean test log-likelihood (TLL) (higher is better)

		SE	$\operatorname{Matérn}(\frac{5}{2})$	Weakly Per.	Sawtooth	MIXTURE
INTERPOLAT.	$\operatorname{GP}$ (optimum)	$0.70 {\pm} 0.00$	$0.31 {\pm} 0.00$	$-0.32 \pm 0.00$	-	-
	T(1)-GEOMNDP	$0.72 \pm 0.03$	$0.32 \pm 0.03$	$-0.38\pm$ 0.03	$3.39{\pm}_{0.04}$	$0.64 {\pm} 0.08$
	$\mathrm{NDP}^*$	$0.71 \pm 0.03$	$0.30 \pm 0.03$	$-0.37 \pm 0.03$	$3.39{\pm}_{0.04}$	$0.64 {\pm} 0.08$
	GNP	$0.70 {\pm} \scriptscriptstyle 0.01$	$0.30{\pm}_{0.01}$	$-0.47 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.42 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.10 {\pm} 0.02$
	ConvNP	$-0.46 {\pm} 0.01$	$-0.67 {\pm} 0.01$	$-1.02 \pm 0.01$	$1.20 {\pm} 0.01$	$-0.50{\pm}0.02$

## 1D regression: Predictive log-likelihood (Cont'd)

 Table 2: Mean test log-likelihood (TLL) (higher is better)

		SE	$\operatorname{Matérn}(\frac{5}{2})$	WEAKLY PER.	Sawtooth	MIXTURE
INTERPOLAT.	GP (optimum)	$0.70 {\pm} 0.00$	$0.31 {\pm} 0.00$	$-0.32 \pm 0.00$	-	-
	T(1)-GEOMNDP	$0.72 \pm 0.03$	$0.32 \pm 0.03$	$-0.38\pm$ 0.03	$3.39{\pm}_{0.04}$	$0.64 \pm 0.08$
	$\mathrm{NDP}^*$	$0.71 \pm 0.03$	$0.30{\pm}_{0.03}$	$-0.37 \pm 0.03$	$3.39{\pm}_{0.04}$	$0.64{\pm}_{0.08}$
	GNP	$0.70 {\pm} \scriptscriptstyle 0.01$	$0.30{\pm}_{0.01}$	$-0.47 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.42 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.10 {\pm} 0.02$
	ConvNP	$-0.46 \pm 0.01$	$-0.67 {\pm} 0.01$	$-1.02 \pm 0.01$	$1.20 {\pm} 0.01$	$-0.50 {\pm} 0.02$
GENERALISAT.	GP (optimum)	$0.70 {\pm} 0.00$	$0.31 {\pm} 0.00$	$-0.32 \pm 0.00$	-	-
	T(1)-GEOMNDP	$0.70 {\pm} 0.02$	$0.31 \pm 0.02$	$-0.38 \pm 0.03$	$3.39{\pm}_{0.03}$	$0.62{\scriptstyle \pm 0.02}$
	$\mathrm{NDP}^*$	*	*	*	*	*
	GNP	$0.69{\pm}_{0.01}$	$0.30 {\pm} \scriptscriptstyle 0.01$	$-0.47 \pm 0.01$	$0.42 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.10 {\pm} 0.02$
	ConvNP	$-0.46 {\pm} 0.01$	$-0.67 \pm 0.01$	$-1.02 \pm 0.01$	$1.19 \pm 0.01$	$-0.53 {\pm} 0.02$

#### 2D invariant Gaussian vector fields



Model	SE	CURL-FREE	DIV-FREE
GP	$0.56_{\pm 0.00}$	$0.66_{\pm 0.00}$	$0.66_{\pm 0.00}$
NDP	$0.55_{\pm 0.00}$	$0.62_{\pm 0.01}$	$0.62_{\pm 0.01}$
E(2)-GeomNDP	$0.56_{\pm 0.01}$	$0.65_{\pm0.01}$	$0.66_{\pm 0.01}$

#### 2D invariant Gaussian vector fields (Cont'd)



Learn  $f : \mathbb{R} \to S^2$  from hurricane trajectory data (Knapp et al., 2018).



Figure 13: Left: 1000 samples from the training data. Right: 1000 samples from trained model.

## Global tropical cyclone trajectory prediction (Cont'd)

(a) Interpolation	(b) Extrapolation				
Madal	Test data	INTERPOLATION		Extrapolation	
wouer	Likelihood	Likelihood	MSE (km)	Likelihood	MSE (km)
$\operatorname{GeomNDP}(\mathbb{R} \to S^2)$	$802_{\pm 5}$	$535_{\pm4}$	$162_{\pm 6}$	${\bf 536}_{\pm {\bf 4}}$	$496_{\pm 14}$
Stereo GP $(\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2/\{0\})$	$393_{\pm 3}$	$266_{\pm 3}$	$2619_{\pm 13}$	$245_{\pm 2}$	$6587_{\pm 55}$
NDP $(\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2)$	-	-	$166_{\pm 22}$	-	$769_{\pm 48}$
$GP \ (\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2)$	-	-	$6852_{\pm 41}$	-	$8138_{\pm 87}$

- Aim: probabilistic model over features fields.
- Constructed diffusion models over function space by correlating finite marginals
- Incorporating group invariance by
  - targetting invariant Gaussian processes and
  - parameterising the score with an equivariant neural network
- Sampling from the conditional process
- Empirically demonstrated modelling capacity on scalar and vector fields, with Euclidean and spherical output space

#### Thank you for your attention. Questions?



Credits to Michael Hutchinson for this 3D render.

References

- P. Cattiaux, G. Conforti, I. Gentil, and C. Léonard. Time reversal of diffusion processes under a finite entropy condition. arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.07708, 2021. Cited on pages 15, 16.
- U. G. Haussmann and E. Pardoux. Time reversal of diffusions. *The Annals of Probability*, 14(4):1188–1205, 1986. Cited on pages 15, 16.
- H. J. Knapp Kenneth R. Diamond, J. P. Kossin, M. C. Kruk, and C. J. I. Schreck. International Best Track Archive for Climate Stewardship (IBTrACS) Project, Version 4. Technical report, NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.25921/82ty-9e16. Cited on page 46.
- A. Phillips, T. Seror, M. Hutchinson, V. De Bortoli, A. Doucet, and E. Mathieu. Spectral Diffusion Processes. Nov. 2022. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/2209.14125. Cited on pages 20–22.

Y. Song, J. Sohl-Dickstein, D. P. Kingma, A. Kumar, S. Ermon, and B. Poole. Score-Based Generative Modeling through Stochastic Differential Equations. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021. Cited on page 12.